

5-(1,3-Dithiolo[4,5-*d*][1,3]dithiol-2-ylidene)-1,3-dithiolo[4,5-*c*][1,2,5]thiadiazole: an unsymmetrical tetrathiafulvalene with fused 1,2,5-thiadiazole and 1,3-dithiole rings

Masaaki Tomura^{a*} and Yoshiro Yamashita^b

^aInstitute for Molecular Science, Myodaiji, Okazaki 444-8585, Japan, and ^bDepartment of Electronic Chemistry, Interdisciplinary Graduate School of Science and Engineering, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Nagatsuta, Midori-ku, Yokohama 226-8502, Japan

Correspondence e-mail: tomura@ims.ac.jp


Received 13 April 2009; accepted 16 April 2009

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; $T = 291$ K; mean $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.04$ Å; disorder in main residue; R factor = 0.052; wR factor = 0.131; data-to-parameter ratio = 11.2.

The title unsymmetrical tetrathiafulvalene (TTF), $\text{C}_7\text{H}_2\text{N}_2\text{S}_7$, contains fused 1,2,5-thiadiazole and 1,3-dithiole rings and is a component molecule for conducting organic solids. The TTF molecule is disordered crystallographically over two orientations related by an inversion center, where each site is half-occupied. The molecule is almost planar with an r.m.s. deviation of 0.096 Å. In the crystal structure, molecules are linked by short intermolecular $\text{S} \cdots \text{S}$ interactions [3.47 (2), 3.507 (8) and 3.517 (13) Å].

Related literature

For general background, see: Williams *et al.* (1992); Ishiguro *et al.* (1998); Yamashita & Tomura (1998). For the synthesis of the title compound, see: Tomura & Yamashita (1997). For unsymmetrical TTF derivatives with a fused 1,2,5-thiadiazole ring, see: Tomura *et al.* (1993); Underhill *et al.* (1993); Naito *et al.* (1996); Tomura & Yamashita (2003); Tomura & Yamashita (2004). For values of van der Waals radii, see: Bondi (1964).



Experimental

Crystal data

$\text{C}_7\text{H}_2\text{N}_2\text{S}_7$	$V = 1129.4$ (18) Å ³
$M_r = 338.60$	$Z = 4$
Monoclinic, $C2/c$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$a = 27.42$ (3) Å	$\mu = 1.36$ mm ⁻¹
$b = 4.051$ (3) Å	$T = 291$ K
$c = 11.047$ (10) Å	$0.10 \times 0.05 \times 0.01$ mm
$\beta = 113.020$ (15)°	

Data collection

Rigaku/MS Mercury CCD diffractometer	1639 independent reflections
Absorption correction: none	737 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
4788 measured reflections	$R_{\text{int}} = 0.176$

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.052$	37 restraints
$wR(F^2) = 0.131$	H-atom parameters constrained
$S = 0.84$	$\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.42$ e Å ⁻³
1639 reflections	$\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.41$ e Å ⁻³
146 parameters	

Data collection: *CrystalClear* (Rigaku/MS, 2006); cell refinement: *CrystalClear*; data reduction: *TEXSAN* (Rigaku, 2004); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *PLATON* (Spek, 2009); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97*.

The authors thank the Instrument Center of the Institute for Molecular Science for the X-ray structure analysis.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: HB2951).

References

- Bondi, A. (1964). *J. Phys. Chem.* **68**, 441–451.
- Ishiguro, T., Yamaji, K. & Saito, G. (1998). *Organic Superconductors*, edited by P. Fulde, Springer Series Solid-State Science, Vol. 88. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer.
- Naito, T., Kobayashi, A., Kobayashi, H. & Underhill, A. E. (1996). *Chem. Commun.* pp. 521–522.
- Rigaku (2004). *TEXSAN*. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.
- Rigaku/MS (2006). *CrystalClear*. Rigaku/MS, The Woodlands, Texas, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **A64**, 112–122.
- Spek, A. L. (2009). *Acta Cryst.* **D65**, 148–155.
- Tomura, M., Tanaka, S. & Yamashita, Y. (1993). *Heterocycles*, **35**, 69–72.
- Tomura, M. & Yamashita, Y. (1997). *Synth. Met.* **86**, 1871–1872.
- Tomura, M. & Yamashita, Y. (2003). *Acta Cryst.* **E59**, o145–o147.
- Tomura, M. & Yamashita, Y. (2004). *Acta Cryst.* **E60**, o63–o65.
- Underhill, A. E., Hawkins, I., Edge, S. & Wilkes, S. B. (1993). *Synth. Met.* **56**, 1914–1919.
- Williams, J. M., Ferraro, J. R., Thorn, R. J., Carlson, K. D., Geiser, U., Wang, H. H., Kini, A. M. & Whangbo, M. H. (1992). *Organic Superconductors*. Englewood Cliffs: Prentice Hall.
- Yamashita, Y. & Tomura, M. (1998). *J. Mater. Chem.* **8**, 1933–1944.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2009). E65, o1082 [doi:10.1107/S1600536809014184]

5-(1,3-Dithiolo[4,5-*d*][1,3]dithiol-2-ylidene)-1,3-dithiolo[4,5-*c*][1,2,5]thiadiazole: an unsymmetrical tetrathiafulvalene with fused 1,2,5-thiadiazole and 1,3-dithiole rings

M. Tomura and Y. Yamashita

Comment

Tetrathiafulvalene (TTF) derivatives with a fused 1,2,5-thiadiazole ring have received much attention as component molecules for conducting organic solids (Tomura *et al.*, 1993; Underhill *et al.*, 1993; Naito *et al.*, 1996; Tomura & Yamashita, 2003; Tomura & Yamashita, 2004). Intermolecular interactions caused by S \cdots N and S \cdots S heteroatom contacts may increase the dimensionality in solid states and suppress metal-insulator transitions (Williams *et al.*, 1992; Ishiguro *et al.*, 1998). In addition, such interactions may lead to the formation of unique molecular networks which have special functions such as inclusion properties (Yamashita & Tomura, 1998). We report here the molecular and crystal structure of an unsymmetrical TTF derivative (I), which contains fused 1,2,5-thiadiazole and 1,3-dithiole rings (Fig. 1).

The center of the unsymmetrical TTF molecule (I) is located on an inversion center. Thus, the molecule is disordered crystallographically over two orientations related by the inversion center. Each site is half-occupied and the total site occupation factor (s.o.f.) equals 1.0. This type of disorder was not observed in the crystal of the unsymmetrical tetrathiafulvalene with fused 1,2,5-thiadiazole and 2,3-dihydro-1,4-dioxine rings (Tomura & Yamashita, 2003). Geometric resemblance between 5-membered 1,2,5-thiadiazole and 1,3-dithiole rings causes this disorder in the crystal of (I). Superlattice reflection was not observed on CCD images. This fact also suggests crystallographic disorder in the crystal. The molecular framework is almost planar with an r.m.s. deviation of 0.096 Å from the least-squares plane. The [1,3]dithiolo[4,5-*c*][1,2,5]thiadiazole framework (S5/S6/S7/N1/N2/C5/C6/C7) is also planar with an r.m.s. deviation of 0.045 Å, while an r.m.s. deviation for the [1,3]dithiolo[4,5-*d*][1,3]dithiole plane (S1/S2/S3/S4/C1/C2/C3/C4) is large (0.104 Å). The deviations of S3, S4 and C4 atoms from the plane are -0.11 (1), -0.18 (1) and 0.18 (2) Å, respectively. The angle between the two plane is 3.0 (9)°. In the crystal structure, the molecules are linked *via* short intermolecular S \cdots S interactions [3.517 (13) for S3—S4(*x*, -*y*, *z* - 1/2), 3.47 (2) for S5—S6(*x*, -*y*, *z* - 1/2) and 3.507 (8) Å for S7—S7(-*x*, -*y*, -*z*)] (Fig. 2). The S \cdots S interactions are 2.3–3.6% shorter than the sum of the corresponding van der Waals radii (Bondi, 1964). No short intermolecular S \cdots N interaction was observed.

Experimental

The title compound was synthesized according to the literature method (Tomura & Yamashita, 1997). Greenish-brown plates of (I) were grown from a toluene solution.

Refinement

The molecule (I) was located on an inversion center and was disordered crystallographically over two orientations related by the inversion center. Thus, the occupancy of all atoms was fixed to 0.5. All the H atoms were placed in geometrically calculated positions and refined using a riding model, with C—H = 0.97 Å and $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.2U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C})$. The refinement was slightly unstable, with some oscillating parameter shifts.

Figures




Fig. 1. The molecular structure of (I), with atom labels and 50% probability displacement ellipsoids for non-H atoms and H atoms are shown as small spheres of arbitrary radii. One component of the disordered molecule is shown.




Fig. 2. The packing diagram of (I), viewed along the *b* axis. Dashed lines indicate intermolecular S...S interactions. One component of the disordered molecule is shown.

5-(1,3-Dithiolo[4,5-*d*][1,3]dithiol-2-ylidene)-1,3- dithiolo[4,5-*c*][1,2,5]thiadiazole

Crystal data

$C_7H_2N_2S_7$	$F_{000} = 680$
$M_r = 338.60$	$D_x = 1.991 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
Monoclinic, $C2/c$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
Hall symbol: $-C 2yc$	$\lambda = 0.71070 \text{ \AA}$
$a = 27.42 (3) \text{ \AA}$	Cell parameters from 1272 reflections
$b = 4.051 (3) \text{ \AA}$	$\theta = 2.0\text{--}30.3^\circ$
$c = 11.047 (10) \text{ \AA}$	$\mu = 1.36 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 113.020 (15)^\circ$	$T = 291 \text{ K}$
$V = 1129.4 (18) \text{ \AA}^3$	Platelet, green–brown
$Z = 4$	$0.10 \times 0.05 \times 0.01 \text{ mm}$

Data collection

Rigaku/MSM Mercury CCD diffractometer	1639 independent reflections
Radiation source: Rotating Anode	737 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Monochromator: Confocal	$R_{\text{int}} = 0.176$
Detector resolution: $14.63 \text{ pixels mm}^{-1}$	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 31.0^\circ$
$T = 291 \text{ K}$	$\theta_{\text{min}} = 3.2^\circ$
φ and ω scans	$h = -39 \rightarrow 35$
Absorption correction: none	$k = -5 \rightarrow 5$
4788 measured reflections	$l = -15 \rightarrow 15$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
Least-squares matrix: full	Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.052$	H-atom parameters constrained
$wR(F^2) = 0.131$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0051P)^2]$
$S = 0.84$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} = 0.135$

1639 reflections	$\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.42 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
146 parameters	$\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.40 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
37 restraints	Extinction correction: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008), $F_c^* = kFc[1+0.001xFc^2\lambda^3/\sin(2\theta)]^{-1/4}$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods	Extinction coefficient: 0.0064 (8)

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted R -factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on F^2 , conventional R -factors R are based on F , with F set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating R -factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R -factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on F , and R -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	x	y	z	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$	Occ. (<1)
S1	0.3251 (4)	0.093 (4)	0.1411 (15)	0.045 (2)	0.50
S2	0.2922 (4)	0.410 (3)	-0.1189 (10)	0.0329 (12)	0.50
S3	0.4100 (3)	0.388 (3)	-0.0802 (9)	0.0541 (13)	0.50
S4	0.4378 (2)	0.0369 (16)	0.1767 (6)	0.0487 (12)	0.50
C1	0.2792 (6)	0.222 (4)	0.0102 (19)	0.026 (2)	0.50
C2	0.3615 (8)	0.325 (7)	-0.018 (2)	0.045 (5)	0.50
C3	0.3735 (8)	0.185 (13)	0.091 (4)	0.037 (5)	0.50
C4	0.4602 (7)	0.284 (6)	0.0755 (16)	0.114 (12)	0.50
H4A	0.4752	0.4862	0.1222	0.137*	0.50
H4B	0.4883	0.1668	0.0609	0.137*	0.50
S5	0.1724 (4)	0.394 (4)	-0.1612 (15)	0.0391 (17)	0.50
S6	0.2003 (4)	0.029 (3)	0.0999 (10)	0.0320 (11)	0.50
S7	0.04227 (19)	0.2329 (14)	-0.0516 (6)	0.0621 (12)	0.50
C5	0.2283 (7)	0.206 (4)	-0.0082 (19)	0.028 (3)	0.50
C6	0.1193 (8)	0.320 (12)	-0.102 (4)	0.042 (5)	0.50
C7	0.1363 (6)	0.153 (7)	0.031 (2)	0.039 (5)	0.50
N1	0.0679 (7)	0.362 (5)	-0.1582 (19)	0.052 (5)	0.50
N2	0.0974 (7)	0.090 (9)	0.067 (2)	0.054 (6)	0.50

Atomic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
S1	0.054 (3)	0.058 (3)	0.021 (4)	0.000 (2)	0.013 (2)	0.002 (3)
S2	0.025 (3)	0.045 (4)	0.021 (3)	-0.0144 (17)	0.0004 (19)	-0.0080 (17)
S3	0.038 (2)	0.090 (3)	0.0441 (17)	-0.002 (2)	0.0270 (17)	0.005 (2)
S4	0.0308 (14)	0.068 (3)	0.0469 (17)	0.0016 (18)	0.0152 (12)	0.004 (2)

supplementary materials

C1	0.027 (4)	0.023 (6)	0.030 (4)	0.015 (4)	0.014 (3)	-0.006 (4)
C2	0.045 (9)	0.064 (12)	0.041 (8)	-0.021 (7)	0.034 (7)	-0.012 (7)
C3	0.012 (4)	0.061 (13)	0.030 (7)	0.013 (5)	-0.002 (4)	0.005 (8)
C4	0.038 (10)	0.18 (3)	0.113 (18)	0.006 (13)	0.012 (9)	0.035 (17)
S5	0.0292 (19)	0.070 (4)	0.017 (3)	0.0054 (19)	0.0081 (15)	0.005 (2)
S6	0.025 (3)	0.044 (4)	0.020 (3)	-0.0109 (18)	0.002 (2)	-0.0072 (17)
S7	0.040 (2)	0.084 (3)	0.0738 (19)	0.008 (2)	0.0353 (16)	0.020 (2)
C5	0.031 (5)	0.026 (7)	0.027 (4)	0.020 (4)	0.012 (4)	0.008 (4)
C6	0.047 (11)	0.054 (15)	0.028 (11)	-0.008 (11)	0.020 (10)	-0.002 (8)
C7	0.024 (7)	0.055 (10)	0.027 (6)	0.017 (7)	-0.002 (5)	0.017 (6)
N1	0.034 (6)	0.070 (12)	0.060 (9)	0.007 (6)	0.029 (6)	0.013 (6)
N2	0.022 (5)	0.105 (11)	0.042 (8)	0.001 (6)	0.019 (4)	-0.002 (7)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

S1—C3	1.67 (4)	C4—H4B	0.9700
S1—C1	1.59 (2)	S5—C5	1.94 (2)
S2—C1	1.77 (3)	S5—C6	1.84 (3)
S2—C2	1.82 (2)	S6—C7	1.694 (18)
S3—C2	1.739 (12)	S6—C5	1.80 (3)
S3—C4	1.784 (13)	S7—N2	1.669 (15)
S4—C3	1.749 (13)	S7—N1	1.674 (13)
S4—C4	1.779 (13)	C6—N1	1.311 (14)
C1—C5	1.331 (8)	C6—C7	1.52 (4)
C2—C3	1.25 (4)	C7—N2	1.300 (14)
C4—H4A	0.9700		
S3...S4 ⁱ	3.517 (13)	S7...S7 ⁱⁱ	3.507 (8)
S5...S6 ⁱ	3.47 (2)		
C3—S1—C1	94.3 (15)	S4—C4—H4B	108.7
C1—S2—C2	85.1 (9)	H4A—C4—H4B	107.6
C2—S3—C4	90.2 (10)	C5—S5—C6	95.4 (12)
C3—S4—C4	89.5 (16)	C7—S6—C5	102.7 (10)
C5—C1—S1	122.1 (10)	N2—S7—N1	99.2 (9)
C5—C1—S2	115.3 (7)	C1—C5—S6	127.7 (7)
S1—C1—S2	122.5 (12)	C1—C5—S5	122.6 (8)
C3—C2—S3	120 (2)	S6—C5—S5	109.7 (10)
C3—C2—S2	119.6 (16)	N1—C6—C7	112 (3)
S3—C2—S2	120.2 (13)	N1—C6—S5	132 (3)
C2—C3—S1	118.4 (13)	C7—C6—S5	115.5 (11)
C2—C3—S4	120 (3)	N2—C7—C6	114.0 (17)
S1—C3—S4	121 (2)	N2—C7—S6	129.3 (15)
S3—C4—S4	114.4 (11)	C6—C7—S6	116.1 (13)
S3—C4—H4A	108.6	C6—N1—S7	107 (2)
S4—C4—H4A	108.6	C7—N2—S7	107.0 (13)
S3—C4—H4B	108.7		
C3—S1—C1—C5	179 (2)	S1—C1—C5—S5	175.1 (18)
C3—S1—C1—S2	-3(3)	S2—C1—C5—S5	-3.0 (11)
C2—S2—C1—C5	-179.7 (11)	C7—S6—C5—C1	170.1 (13)

C2—S2—C1—S1	2.3 (17)	C7—S6—C5—S5	-7.9 (15)
C4—S3—C2—C3	-12 (4)	C6—S5—C5—C1	-172.9 (18)
C4—S3—C2—S2	175.9 (19)	C6—S5—C5—S6	5(2)
C1—S2—C2—C3	0(4)	C5—S5—C6—N1	-174 (4)
C1—S2—C2—S3	171.8 (19)	C5—S5—C6—C7	0(3)
S3—C2—C3—S1	-174 (3)	N1—C6—C7—N2	-2(5)
S2—C2—C3—S1	-2(6)	S5—C6—C7—N2	-177 (3)
S3—C2—C3—S4	-3(6)	N1—C6—C7—S6	170 (3)
S2—C2—C3—S4	169 (2)	S5—C6—C7—S6	-5(4)
C1—S1—C3—C2	3(5)	C5—S6—C7—N2	179 (3)
C1—S1—C3—S4	-168 (3)	C5—S6—C7—C6	8(3)
C4—S4—C3—C2	16 (5)	C7—C6—N1—S7	4(4)
C4—S4—C3—S1	-173 (4)	S5—C6—N1—S7	178 (4)
C2—S3—C4—S4	22.8 (18)	N2—S7—N1—C6	-4(3)
C3—S4—C4—S3	-24 (2)	C6—C7—N2—S7	-1(4)
S1—C1—C5—S6	-2.8 (14)	S6—C7—N2—S7	-172 (2)
S2—C1—C5—S6	179.2 (14)	N1—S7—N2—C7	3(3)

Symmetry codes: (i) $x, -y, z-1/2$; (ii) $-x, -y, -z$.

Fig. 1




Fig. 2

